

<b>Braidwood Community Association</b>		<b>Continuation of previous meeting</b>		
<b>Meeting Type: General Meeting</b>		<b>Place: Braidwood Servicemen’s Club</b>	<b>Date: 23 Sept 25</b>	<b>Time: 6.00 pm</b>
<b>Committee Present</b>	Sue Murray, David Anthony, Sarah Leheny, Cathie Owen, John Tuckwell, Judy van Rijswijk			
<b>BCA Members Present</b>	Margaret Tuckwell, Peter van Rijswijk			
<b>Apologies</b>	Catherine Bell, Jan Mason, Anni Chilton, Martin Glover			

<b>Item</b>	<b>Discussion/Summary/Motion</b>	<b>Motion Moved</b>	<b>Motion Seconded</b>	<b>Vote</b>
<b>Item 1: Welcome and Apologies</b>	Sue welcomed all attendees and Elise Evans from the QPRC 88 Wallace Street Project team.			
<b>Item 2: Endorsement of Previous Minutes</b>	Minutes from meeting 19 August 25 were tabled and accepted by the meeting.	John Tuckwell	Judy van Rijswijk	
<b>Item 3: Presentation and discussion on the 88 Wallace Street concept designs</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Funding includes carpark, toilets (replacing toilets at the National Theatre), and design concept.</li> <li>• Size of space is restrictive when it comes to Community Room and Exhibition Space (4.5m wide)</li> <li>• Is there a requirement for another Community Room?</li> <li>• Dispute over the extent of site contamination. Remediation required.</li> <li>• Exhibition space is supported.</li> <li>• Toilets should be further back in the building (not at the front).</li> <li>• If toilets at the Theatre were revamped and extended (using existing plumbing) it would be cheaper.</li> <li>• Dodge display supports transport history of Braidwood.</li> <li>• Materials in original structure not repurposed can be used in the Braidwood Museum.</li> <li>• Entrance on Council design generally not liked – current façade dates back to 1970s.</li> <li>• Request for transparency when it comes to environmental remediation issues.</li> <li>• BCA to submit response in addition to individual responses</li> </ul>			

<p><b>Alternate BACA plans</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allows for passive surveillance (down the length of the building).</li> <li>• Open space, fewer walls, more flexibility</li> <li>• More space for Braidwood indigenous gardens</li> <li>• Privacy and sound barrier for neighbours</li> <li>• Toilets at the back adjacent to carpark</li> <li>• Façade could include verandah in line with other Braidwood buildings</li> <li>• Open space allows further development when funds available</li> <li>• Kids play area at the back to avoid being near the road</li> <li>• Pods allow pop-ups, markets, food vans, etc</li> <li>• Need a cohesive master plan for whole area (including Theatre toilets, Council depot, and 88 Wallace Street)</li> <li>• Space is flexible and responsive to the community</li> <li>• Encourages start-up potential</li> <li>• Open space allows for evolution of uses</li> </ul>			
<p><b>Item 4a: QPRC Waste Strategy Consultation</b></p>	<p>Submissions closed September 15<sup>th</sup>.</p>			
<p><b>Item 4b: Camping at the Servicemen’s Club</b></p>	<p>No further information</p>			
<p><b>Item 4c: Community Transport</b></p>	<p>Drivers are transporting people to medical appointments.</p>			
<p><b>Item 4d: Contamination report for 88 Wallace Street</b></p>	<p>Requested, but advised it’s not publicly available.                  MOTION: We submit a GIPA request for any contamination reports Council has commissioned and received on 88 Wallace Street.                  David abstained due to possible conflict of interest.                  Combined submission from BCA, BAVBC, and Historical Society was suggested.</p>	<p>Peter van Rijswijk</p>	<p>Margaret Tuckwell</p>	<p>All in agreement</p>
<p><b>Item 5: General Business</b></p>				
<p><b>Item 5a: QPRC Community Grant</b></p>	<p>Grant received for First Thursday Christmas Lunch.</p>			

<b><u>Item 5b:</u> Councillor's report from Cr Bill Waterhouse</b>	Deferred to next meeting
<b><u>Item 6:</u> Any Other Business</b>	88 Wallace Street: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BACA plans - Specific children's play area was not supported (possible insurance issues)</li><li>• There was general support for site remediation, filling in the pits, provision of benches and bollards, and to leave the space open.</li><li>• Objection to proposed façade. Should tie in with surrounding buildings and in keeping with heritage.</li><li>• Proposed rooms are too narrow to be useable.</li><li>• Redeveloping existing toilets (at the National Theatre) may be a better option.</li><li>• Suggested trees are unsuitable for site.</li></ul>
<b><u>Item 7:</u> Next Meeting</b>	21 October 2025